

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

PRESTON EMANUEL JACKSON,

Petitioner,

Civil No. 2:10-CV-14750  
HONORABLE GERALD E. ROSEN  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

v.

CARMEN PALMER,

Respondent,

**OPINION AND ORDER TRANSFERRING THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF  
HABEAS CORPUS TO THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

Preston Emanuel Jackson, (“petitioner”), presently confined at the Lakeland Correctional Facility in Coldwater, Michigan, seeks the issuance of a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. At the time that petitioner filed the petition, he was incarcerated at the Michigan Reformatory in Ionia, Michigan.<sup>1</sup> In his application, petitioner challenges his conviction for second-degree murder, possession of a firearm during the commission of a felony, and felon in possession of a firearm out of Calhoun County, Michigan. In the interests of justice, the Court concludes that the proper venue for this petition would be in the Western District of Michigan and will therefore order that the petition be transferred to that district.

**I. DISCUSSION**

28 U.S.C. 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (d) states:

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court obtained petitioner’s current location of incarceration from the Michigan Department of Corrections’ Offender Tracking Information System (OTIS), which this Court is permitted to take judicial notice of. See *Ward v. Wolfenbarger*, 323 F. Supp. 2d 818, 821, n. 3 (E.D. Mich. 2004).

Where an application for a writ of habeas corpus is made by a person in custody under the judgment and sentence of a State court of a State which contains two or more Federal judicial districts, the application may be filed in the district court for the district wherein such person is in custody or in the district court for the district within which the State court was held which convicted and sentenced him and each of such district courts shall have concurrent jurisdiction to entertain the application. The district court for the district wherein such an application is filed in the exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice may transfer the application to the other district court for hearing and determination.

For the convenience of parties and witnesses, in the interests of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it might have been brought. See *Weatherford v. Gluch*, 708 F. Supp. 818, 819-20 (E.D. Mich. 1988)(Zatkoff, J.); 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). When venue is inappropriate, a court may transfer a habeas petition to the appropriate federal district court *sua sponte*. See *Verissimo v. I.N.S.*, 204 F. Supp. 2d 818, 820 (D.N.J. 2002).

In the present case, petitioner was convicted in Calhoun County, Michigan, filed his petition while he was incarcerated at the Michigan Reformatory in Ionia, Michigan, and is presently incarcerated at the Lakeland Correctional Facility in Coldwater, Michigan, all of which are located in the Western District of Michigan. A federal district court lacks jurisdiction to hear a state prisoner's habeas petition, where the petitioner was not convicted, sentenced, or incarcerated within that district. See *Wadsworth v. Johnson*, 235 F. 3d 959, 962-63 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000). Because petitioner was convicted and sentenced in a state court located in the Western District of Michigan and is incarcerated in a state facility likewise located in that district, the Western District of Michigan is the only court with jurisdiction to hear his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. See *Carmona v. Andrews*, 357 F. 3d 535, 537-39 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2004); See also *Manes v. Bell*,

2007 WL 1228093, \* 1 (E.D. Mich. April 23, 2007)(because both the place of petitioner's conviction and the locale of his incarceration were in the Western District of Michigan, the only proper place of venue would be that district)(citing *Gist v. Henderson*, 401 F. Supp. 819, 819 (W.D.N.Y. 1975)). Because petitioner's conviction was imposed in a state court that was not located in the Eastern District of Michigan and petitioner is not presently in custody in this district, the Court will transfer the matter to the Western District of Michigan pursuant to § 2241(d). See *Dilworth v. Johnson*, 215 F. 3d 497, 499, n. 1 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000); *Manes*, Slip. Op. at \* 1.

## II. ORDER

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** the Clerk of the Court to transfer this case to the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).

s/Gerald E. Rosen  
Chief Judge, United States District Court

Dated: December 7, 2010

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon Preston Jackson, #538573, Lakeland Correctional Facility (LCF), 141 First Street, Coldwater, MI 49036 on December 7, 2010, by ordinary mail.

s/Ruth A. Gunther  
Case Manager